REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188

Public Reporting burden for this collection of	information is estimated to average 1 hour per	response, including the time f	or reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources,	
gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comment regarding this burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection				
of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188,) Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank	2. REPORT DATE	3. I	REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
	02/20/02	Fir	nal Report, 07/01/01 – 12/31/01	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. I	5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
	Modified Soy Protein Adhes	ve G#	G# DAAD19-01-1-0570	
Thancolory chormands of Modified Goy Protein Advisory				
6. AUTHOR(S)				
X. Susan SUN, Donghai V	VANG, and Zhikai ZHONG			
			NED TO DE LA CONTRACTOR	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N		•	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Dept. of Grain Science & Ind			#12481	
Dept. of Biological & Agricu		" 1	2-101	
Kansas State University, Mar				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AC	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10.	SPONSORING / MONITORING	
U. S. Army Research Offic			AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
1	е		124451-ch-II	
P.O. Box 12211	C 07700 0011	'		
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
	findings contained in this report a	re those of the author	(s) and should not be construed as an official	
	n, policy or decision, unless so de			
Department of the rainy position	ii, policy of decision, unless so de	signated by outer doe		
12 a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI	TY STATEMENT	121	b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words	.)			
		tudy the water resis	stance of various modified soy protein	
			ect of drying temperature on the adhesive	
performance of the soy protein		4551.8410 1110 4111		
		using sodium dode	cyl sulfate (SDS) and guanidine	
			ard was studied. Water resistance of the	
mydrochioride (Gurier). Adir	esion performance of the modified	A CTM DS 570 OA T	The SDS-modified SPI containing 90%	
1 -			should be less than 2%. The wet shear	
strength test showed 100% cohesive failure within fiberboard. This indicates the modified SPI has good water resistance.				
The effect of drying temperature on adhesive performance of the SDS-modified SPI on fiberboard was then				
investigated. The drying temperature was found to affect the final adhesion strength significantly. The wet shear strength				
tests showed that the controlled specimens prepared at low pressure exhibited almost no cohesive failure within fiberboard				
while heat-treated (50 – 90°C) specimens exhibited completely cohesive failure within fiberboard. This information will				
be useful reference for low co	ost adhesive processing in the	future.		
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES				
	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate; Gua	midine Hydrochlori	de;	
Adhesive Performance; Water Resistance; Oven-drying.				
		16. PRICE CODE		
17 SECULITY OF ASSISTED ATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OR REPORT	ON THIS PAGE	OF ABSTRACT	SHIGHTON OF ADSTRACT	
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSII		
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev.2-89)	

Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

Adhesion Performance of Modified Soy Protein Adhesive

(G# DAAD19-01-1-0570)

List of Appendixes, Illustrations and Tables

- I. Sample preparation
- II. Measurement of the percentage of water-soluble-mass
- III. Shear strength tests
- IV. Results and tables
 - Table 1. Water-soluble-mass of various SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S
 - Table 2. Water-soluble-mass of various SPI (90% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S
 - Table 3. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of various SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S
 - Table 4. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of various SPI (90% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S
 - Table 5. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of the control and drying-treated 3% SDS-modified SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S (pressed at 100°C and 0.5 MPa for 5 min)
 - Table 6. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of the control and drying-treated 3% SDS-modified SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S (pressed at 100°C and 2 MPa for 5 min)

Statement of the problem studied

Phenol and urea formaldehyde-based and/or thermoplastic resin-based adhesives are commonly used in the Army system for applications of plywood, particleboard, packaging, labeling applications, etc. These adhesives have caused unpleasant environmental problems in processing, distribution, application, and waste management. Also, they are harmful to human being. However, soy-based adhesives are produced from renewable agricultural resources and are environmentally friendly, and hence they are getting more and more attention.

Usually, soy protein adhesives have low gluing strength and water resistance. These limit the wide utilization of soy protein adhesives. In our previous research, modification of soy protein has showed improvement in shear strength. One of water resistance specifications of adhesives for fiberboard packaging application is the mass solublized in water should be less than 2% by ASTM D5570-94 standard. The objective of this research was to study the water resistance of various modified soy protein adhesives on fiberboard as packaging box following

ASTM D5570-94. The shear strength and failure mode when the specimen was still wet (the wet shear strength) were also examined to further evaluate the water resistance.

One of the procedures of preparing the soy protein and the adhesive is drying. Therefore, the second objective of this research was to investigate the effect of drying temperature on the adhesive performance of the soy protein.

Summary of the most important results

Guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) modified soy protein isolate (SPI) adhesive had much higher percentage of water-soluble-mass than that of unmodified adhesives (Tables 1 and 2) because of high concentration of GuHCl in the adhesive. The water-soluble-mass of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) modified SPI, containing 82% protein, was 6.5% (Table 1), which was higher than the ASTM D5570-94 requirement (2%). Therefore, SPI containing higher protein (90%) was used, and several SDS concentrations were studied. The 1% SDS-modified SPI containing 90% protein was found to have 1.75% water-soluble-mass (Table 2), which met the ASTM D5570-94 requirement, and to exhibit 100% cohesive failure within fiberboard for the wet strength test (Table 4).

Drying had significantly effect on the adhesion performance of SPI adhesives (Tables 5 and 6). Heat-treatment $(50 - 90^{\circ}\text{C})$ could further improve adhesive strength and water resistance. The percentage of cohesive failure within fiberboard for the wet strength test also increased for the heat-treated adhesives. The SDS-modified SPI with 82% protein treated at $50 - 90^{\circ}\text{C}$ showed 100% cohesive failure within fiberboard (Table 5).

This study showed that the modified SPI with either 90% or 82% protein can be a good adhesive for the fiberboard but can be selected based on total processing cost and further specification testing.

Listing of publications and technical reports

1. Zhikai Zhong, Donghai Wang, X. Susan Sun, and J. A. Ratto. Water Resistance of modified Soy Protein Adhesives and the Effect of Drying Temperature. *Manuscript in preparing*.

Bibliography

- 1. G. M. Estes and D. Kuespert, *Adhesives Age* **20(1)**, 35 (1977).
- 2. K. C. Rhee and K. H. Kim, *INFORM* 3, 1044 (1992).
- 3. A. L. Lambuth in: Handbook of Adhesive Technology, A. Pizzi and K.L. Mittal (Ed), Chap. 13, pp. 259. Marcel Dekker, New York (1994).
- 4. Kalapathy U, Hettiarachchy NS, Myers D, Hanna MA. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 72, 507 (1995).

- 5. Kalapathy U, Hettiarachchy NS, Myers D, Rhee KC. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 73, 1063 (1996).
- 6. A. Gruner and O. Piringer, *Packaging Technol. Sci.* **12(1)**, 19-28 (1999).
- 7. S. X. Sun and K. Bian, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 76, 977 (1999).
- 8. W. Huang and X. Sun, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 77, 101 (2000).
- 9. W. Huang and X. Sun, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 77, 705 (2000).
- 10. Zhong Z, Sun XS, Fang X, Ratto JA. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 78, 37 (2001).
- 11. Zhong Z, Sun XS, Fang X, Ratto JA. J. Adhesion Sci. Technol. 15, 1417 (2001).
- 12. Zhong Z, Sun XS, Fang X, Ratto JA. Int. J. Adhesion & Adhesives, in press.
- 13. ASTM D 5570-94. *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, **Vol. 15.09**, pp. 799. ASTM, Philadelphia, PA (1994).
- 14. TAPPI UM 633. TAPPI Useful Methods, pp. 273. TAPPI Press, Atlanta, GA (1991).

Appendixes

I. Sample preparation.

To prepare control or modified SPI adhesives, 12 grams of SPI were dissolved in 100 grams of aqueous solution without or with the modifier (3% SDS or 1M GuHCl), and stirred for 2 h. Modifiers and their concentrations that gave the highest adhesion performance for fiberboard (10-13) were used in this experiment except the high-protein-content SPI (90%), for which several SDS concentrations were used.

To study the effect of drying on adhesive performance, the 3% SDS-modified SPI adhesive slurry was poured into a tray, and was dried in air-oven at various temperatures (30, 50, 70, and 90°C). The dried samples were film-like, and were powdered using a Cyclone Sample Mill (UDY Corporation, Fort Collins, CO). To prepare the drying-treated SDS-modified adhesive, about 15 grams of oven-dried powder were dissolved in 100 grams of distilled water, and stirred for 2 h. The amount of the oven-dried powder, which was used to prepare the drying-treated SDS-modified adhesive, was calculated according to its water content, and made sure that the adhesive had same SPI and SDS contents as the control.

For water-soluble-mass measurement, the adhesive slurry was brushed onto a piece of solid fiberboard V2S ($6'' \times 3.5''$) until the entire area was completely wet (about 1.5 ± 0.1 mg/cm² protein solid concentration). The amount of slurry on each fiberboard was controlled by using a consistent brushing procedure to minimize variations. The applied area was $6'' \times 3.5''$. The slurry-brushed fiberboard was allowed to rest at room temperature for 10 min, and then was

placed onto a larger piece of aluminum foil (7" × 5.5"). Made sure the foil facing to the slurry-brushed side. The aluminum foil was sprayed a layer of mould-releaser before assembling. After that, the assembly was pressed at 100°C, 2 MPa for 5 min using a Hot Press (Model 3890 Auto "M", Carver Inc., Wabash, IN), and then the aluminum foil was removed from the fiberboard.

The fiberboard specimens for shear strength testing were prepared according to the modified TAPPI UM633 method. The adhesive slurry was brushed onto one end of both two pieces of fiberboard ($6'' \times 3.5''$) until the entire area was completely wet (about 1.5 ± 0.1 mg/cm² protein solid concentration). The amount of slurry on each fiberboard was controlled by using a consistent brushing procedure to minimize variations. The applied area on each end was $6'' \times 1''$. The two pieces of slurry-brushed fiberboard were allowed to rest at room temperature for 10 min, and then were pressed together at 100° C, 2 MPa for 5 min using the Hot Press.

II. Measurement of the percentage of water-soluble-mass.

The following are the procedure to measure the percentage of water-soluble-mass (according to the modified ASTM D5570-94):

Reference:

Fiberboard Oven dry:
$$105 \pm 5^{\circ}$$
C Weigh (M_I) Water soaking: $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C $25\pm 1 \text{ h}$

Dry for 12 h Oven dry: $105 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C Weigh (M_F)

Sample:

Fiberboard

Oven dry:
$$105 \pm 5^{\circ}$$
C

 3 h

Weigh (M_P)

Set for 1 day

Oven dry: $105 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C

 3 h

Weigh (M_T)
 $25\pm 1 \text{ h}$

Dry for 12 h

Room temperature

Oven dry: $105 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C

 3 h

Weigh (M_T)
 3 h

Weigh (M_T)
 3 h

Weigh (M_W)

Water-soluble mass (M_R) in **Reference** was: $M_R = M_I - M_F$

The value presented is the mean of three replicas.

The mass of adhesive (M_A), which was applied onto Sample, was calculated by:

$$M_A = M_T - M_P$$

The percentage of water-soluble-mass of adhesive in **Sample** was:

$$S_A\% = \frac{M_T - M_W - M_R}{M_A} \times 100$$

The value presented is the mean of three replicas.

III. Shear strength tests.

The fiberboard assemblies prepared for shear strength testing were preconditioned at 23°C and 50% RH for 48 h and then were cut into five 25 mm-wide specimens. These were preconditioned further for 5 days in a conditioning room (23°C, 50% RH). An Instron universal testing machine (Model 4465, Canton, MA) with a crosshead speed of 25.4 mm/min was used. The shear strength at maximum load was recorded, and each value presented is the mean of 5-8 specimens. Failure mode of test specimen was also determined by naked eyes observation.

For shear strength of soaked sample, 5-8 of the 25 mm-wide specimens for each set of conditions were soaked in tap water at 23°C for 24 h. Then they were dried at 23°C and 50% RH for 5 days, and shear strength was tested as described above.

For wet shear strength, 5-8 of the 25 mm-wide specimens for each set of conditions were soaked in tap water at 23°C for 24 h. Then they were taken out of water and tested as described above immediately.

IV. Results and tables.

Table 1. Water-soluble-mass of various SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 2 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Water-soluble-mass, S _A (%)	
SPI	5.62 ± 4.62	
3% SDS-modified SPI	6.52 ± 0.63	
1M GuHCl-modified SPI	43.93 ± 1.96	

Table 2. Water-soluble-mass of various SPI (90% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 2 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Water-soluble-mass, S _A (%)	
SPI	5.96 ± 4.97	
1% SDS-modified SPI	1.75 ± 2.36	
1M GuHCl-modified SPI	39.35 ± 0.38	

Table 3. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of various SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 2 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Shear strength		
•	Unsoaked sample	Soaked sample	Wet strength
SPI	1.840 ± 0.152 MPa	1.480 ± 0.066 MPa	$0.327 \pm 0.066 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (60:100; 40:10)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (20:100; 40:70;
			40:0)
3% SDS-modified SPI	$1.754 \pm 0.121 \text{ MPa}$	$1.395 \pm 0.074 \text{ MPa}$	$0.360 \pm 0.026 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (60:100; 40:75)
1M GuHCl-modified	$1.913 \pm 0.074 \text{ MPa}$	$1.869 \pm 0.077 \text{ MPa}$	$0.378 \pm 0.012 \text{ MPa}$
SPI	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (40:100; 60:90)

NOTE: break mode CFF (n:m) meant n% specimens showed m% Cohesive Failure within Fiberboard (CFF)

Table 4. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of various SPI (90% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 2 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Shear strength		
-	Unsoaked sample	Soaked sample	Wet strength
SPI	2.116 ± 0.115 MPa	1.935 ± 0.111 MPa	$0.414 \pm 0.030 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)
1% SDS-modified SPI	$2.008 \pm 0.137 \text{ MPa}$	$1.735 \pm 0.066 \text{ MPa}$	$0.388 \pm 0.026 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)
1M GuHCl-modified	$1.885 \pm 0.119 \text{ MPa}$	$1.518 \pm 0.121 \text{ MPa}$	$0.408 \pm 0.009 \text{ MPa}$
SPI	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)

NOTE: break mode CFF (n:m) meant n% specimens showed m% Cohesive Failure within Fiberboard (CFF)

Table 5. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of the control and drying-treated 3% SDS-modified SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 0.5 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Shear strength		
	Unsoaked sample	Soaked sample	Wet strength
Control	1.721 ± 0.127 MPa	1.640 ± 0.056 MPa	0.283 ± 0.042 MPa
	CFF (40:100; 60:10)	CFF (40:100; 60:15)	CFF (30:5; 70:0)
Dried at 30°C	$1.624 \pm 0.196 \text{ MPa}$	$1.701 \pm 0.114 \text{ MPa}$	$0.271 \pm 0.057 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (60:100; 40:10)	CFF (60:100; 40:5)	CFF (20:50; 80:0)
Dried at 50°C	$1.826 \pm 0.108 \text{ MPa}$	$1.732 \pm 0.087 \text{ MPa}$	$0.370 \pm 0.021 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (90:100; 10:30)	CFF (80:100; 20:40)	CFF (100:100)
Dried at 70°C	$1.644 \pm 0.085 \text{ MPa}$	$1.458 \pm 0.088 \text{ MPa}$	$0.290 \pm 0.022 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)
Dried at 90°C	$1.963 \pm 0.087 \mathrm{MPa}$	$1.774 \pm 0.049 \text{ MPa}$	$0.339 \pm 0.021 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)

NOTE: break mode CFF (n:m) meant n% specimens showed m% Cohesive Failure within Fiberboard (CFF)

Table 6. Shear strength (unsoaked, soaked, and wet strength) of the control and drying-treated 3% SDS-modified SPI (82% protein content) adhesives on solid fiberboard V2S.

Condition: 100°C, 2 MPa, 5 min; Assembly time = 10 min; Stirring time = 2 hrs

	Shear strength		
	Unsoaked sample	Soaked sample	Wet strength
Control	1.754 ± 0.121 MPa	1.395 ± 0.074 MPa	$0.360 \pm 0.026 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (60:100; 40:75)
Dried at 30°C	$1.359 \pm 0.153 \text{ MPa}$	$1.173 \pm 0.154 \text{ MPa}$	$0.208 \pm 0.047 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:5)	CFF (20:80; 20:10;	CFF (100:0)
		20:5; 40:0)	
Dried at 50°C	$1.802 \pm 0.084 \text{ MPa}$	$1.632 \pm 0.146 \text{ MPa}$	$0.362 \pm 0.034 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (80:100; 20:50)
Dried at 70°C	$1.655 \pm 0.087 \text{ MPa}$	$1.513 \pm 0.067 \text{ MPa}$	$0.318 \pm 0.019 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)
Dried at 90°C	$1.996 \pm 0.043 \text{ MPa}$	1.737 ± 0.064 MPa	$0.350 \pm 0.018 \text{ MPa}$
	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)	CFF (100:100)

NOTE: break mode CFF (n:m) meant n% specimens showed m% Cohesive Failure within Fiberboard (CFF)